

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/528,035	EINSPENNER ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Nghia M. Doan	2825

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowance

(1) Nghia M. Doan.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Mark Gleason (Reg. 39,998).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 December 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*Claim 23*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner was authorized for incorporating the limitation of claim 25 into claim 23 to clarify how the contact areas to be arranged in the model of the electronic device*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)